

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,010	05/27/2005	Mikio Sahashi	TASH-9	1378
20311 LUCAS & ME	7590 11/28/2007 RCANTI, LLP		EXAMINER	
475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH			VORTMAN, ANATOLY	
15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, I			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2835	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/537,010	SAHASHI ET AL.	i			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anatoly Vortman	2835				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MG	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,				
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' statute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	27 May 2005.					
,	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all						
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.	•				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bu						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Danas Ma/a	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		formal Patent Application				

10/537,010 Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows (some of the distinguishing features presented in parentheses):

Specie I, (a slot formed in the connection plate (26) and the length of the leading end side first position (22g) is equal to the length of the inner side second position (22h)), representative Fig. 1 to 9, 18, and 19.

Specie II, (a notch formed in the connection plate (26)), representative Fig. 10, 11

Specie III, (the leading end side first position (22g) is longer than the inner side second position (22h)), representative Fig. 12, 13, and 20.

Specie IV, (the magnet (23(A) and the leading end side first position (22g) is shorter than the inner side second position (22h)), representative Fig. 14 and 21.

Specie V, (the temperature sensing magnet (23B)), representative Fig. 15.

Specie VI, (the reed switch (19), representative Fig. 16.

Specie VII, (the bimetal (18) is slow-action instead of the snap action and the enclosed airtight compartment (44)), representative Fig. 23 and 24.

Specie VIII, (the snap action bimetal (16) connected in series with the slow action bimetal (18)), representative Fig. 25 and 26.

Application/Control Number:

10/537,010

Art Unit: 2835

2. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features as explained above. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) <u>identification of the claims encompassing the elected species</u>, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be

considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anatoly Vortman whose telephone number is 571-272-2047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, between 10:00 am and 8:30 pm..

10/537,010 Art Unit: 2835

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Jayprakash Gandhi can be reached on 571-272-3740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anatoly Vortman/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2835